

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/269,573

Applicant(s)

HAYASHIZAKI, YOSHIHIDE

Examiner

BJ Forman

Art Unit

1655

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) BJ Forman, examiner of record.

(3) _____

(2) Malcolm K. McGowan, attorney of record.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 22 November 2000.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 9 and 28.

Identification of prior art discussed:

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner suggested claims 1, 9 & 28 be amended to insert "coding" before "sequence" in the recitation "and have all of a sequence of full-length gene".

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/269,573

Applicant(s)

HAYASHIZAKI, YOSHIHIDE

Examiner

BJ Forman

Art Unit

1655

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) BJ Forman, examiner of record.

(3) _____

(2) Malcom McGowan, attorney of record.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 30 November 2000.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 23-25.

Identification of prior art discussed:

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner stated that Claims 23-25 are not in condition for allowance because Claims 23 & 25 are independent and do not depend from allowable Claim 1, Dr. McGowan suggested Claims 23 & 25 be amended to depend from Claim 1 by inserting at the beginning of the claims "the method of Claim 1 wherein"

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/269,573

Applicant(s)

HAYASHIZAKI, YOSHIHIDE

Examiner

BJ Forman

Art Unit

1655

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) BJ Forman, examiner of record. (3) _____

(2) Malcomb K. McGoawn, attorney of record. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 27 November 2000.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 9 and 28.

Identification of prior art discussed:

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. McGowan authorized examiner's suggested amendment of claims 1, 9 & 28 i.e. insert "coding" before "sequence" in the recitation "and have all of a sequence of a full-length gene".

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/269,573

Applicant(s)

HAYASHIZAKI, YOSHIHIDE

Examiner

BJ Forman

Art Unit

1655

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) BJ Forman, examiner of record. (3) _____

(2) Malcom McGowan, attorney of record. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 02 January 2001.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1-30.

Identification of prior art discussed: Wagner et al. WO93/02216.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The claimed "sequence of full-length gene" was discussed in reference to the teaching Wagner et al. who teach cDNA immobilization and mRNA targets (page 6). Dr. McGowan will consult with applicants and decide whether to amend the claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required